



October 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 30, 2025)

| Key | Audience | Components | Summary | Description |
|------|----------|------------|--|---|
| 1995 | AP | Invoice | Invoice OCR Workflow Enhancement: Auto-Approval for PO Flip and Captured Lines Loading | <p>As part of the Invoice OCR effort, the PO Flip and Load Captured Lines steps need to be auto-approved.</p> <p>Research Findings: CGI's analysis identified that enabling auto-approval for these steps will enhance the usability of the OCR workflow.</p> <p>Business Impact: Implementing this change will significantly improve the overall Invoice OCR Enhancement: Auto-Approval for PO Flip and Captured Lines Loading usability of the OCR process.</p> <p>Proposed Change: Update the requested label changes to support this enhancement.</p> |
| 2014 | AP | Invoice | Restrict Invoice Edits with AP Team Locking Functionality | <p>Overview: A security change is required to enable the AP Team to lock invoices within the system.</p> <p>Business Impact: At present, all AP users have the ability to edit invoices, which creates a risk of unintended or unauthorized changes.</p> <p>CGI Research: It was identified that a locking functionality is needed to restrict access once an invoice has been locked by a user from the AP Team.</p> <p>Change to be Implemented: Introduce functionality that allows AP Team members to lock invoices. Once locked, other AP users should be restricted from making any edits to the locked invoice.</p> |
| 1957 | Buyers | Analytics | Deploy eVA Metrics Analysis dashboard | The eVA Metrics Analysis dashboard only exists in PROD. Deploy it to all environments. |
| 1942 | Buyers | Catalog | Market Price- Update ETL to support Market Price for Catalog & Punchout items | <p>Enhance ETL to capture Market Price values from catalog imports and punchout cart returns.</p> <p>Acceptance Criteria:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Catalog Import ETL (eva_pdtitem_light) Add column: market_price (numeric). Map to t_pdt_item.market_price. Allow updates via import.2. Punchout ETL (std_confirm_punchout_request_cxml_import) Accept <Extrinsic name="Market Price"> from <ItemDetail>. Map to t_ord_item.market_price. |
| 2042 | Buyers | Catalog | Follow-up: Market Price- Update ETL to support Market Price for Catalog & Punchout items | <p>This follow-up ticket is created to track the issues after enhancement was done by Key 1942.</p> <p>CGI Research found that while testing Key1857: cXML Failed order notifications not going out, it was found that when creating a punchout order, when returned from cart to eVA, Blocking errors 'An unexpected error has occurred during transformation "Smart copy" for code(s) "t_oitem_seq, t_oitem_quantity, t2, t_market_price". fires.</p> <p>Business Impact: Punchout orders are receiving a market price error when returning to eVA from Supplier site.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Fix the issue to correct the punchout ETL.</p> |



October 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 30, 2025)

| Key | Audience | Components | Summary | Description |
|------|----------|-------------|---|---|
| 1900 | Buyers | Contracting | Subcontractor Name not populating in Subcontractor Report for eVA Registered supplier | <p>An issue was reported that the Subcontractor name in the Subcontractor Report Payment Details screen does not populate in the report table.</p> <p>Business impact: Causes user confusion when the selected supplier does not display and makes it appear the supplier selection did not get saved or is not associated correctly with the subcontractor payment.</p> <p>CGI research / issue: Area: Subcontractor Report The supplier name is not displaying in the Subcontractor Name field in the Report Details table when the subcontractor is an eVA Registered Supplier and they are included on the Contract in the Subcontractors tab. Issue is recreated in ST, UAT & Perf. Issue occurs when the subcontractor is an eVA registered supplier and they are added to the Contract – Subcontractors tab. When selecting this supplier in the subcontractor report, the supplier name is not displaying in the report details. Research confirmed that the selected supplier is getting correctly saved in the database and is associated with the subcontractor report correctly. This appears to be a UI issue only with the supplier name not displaying in the Report Detail table. This issue is seen on both the internal & external (supplier-side) subcontractor report.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Correct logic to display the supplier name in the Report Details - Subcontractor Name field when the eVA registered supplier is included as a subcontractor within the Contract. This is needed for both the internal subcontractor report & external (supplier-side) subcontractor report.</p> |
| 1923 | Buyers | Contracting | Update controls for who can amend Statewide Contracts | <p>COVA reported an issue with user that is outside the contracting entity being able to amend a statewide contract.</p> <p>Business impact: Users who are not the contract own/administrator should not amend a contract. Security violation as end users who are not the contract owner/admin should not have access to amend a contract.</p> <p>CGI Research: Issue was recreated when the user (that is outside the contracting entity) has the sealed sourcing Profile. The user was able to access the statewide contract and the Create Amendment Action was available.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Make updates to the controls on the amend contract action. Currently the action (Create Amendment) has view and edit authorization of auth_ctr_amend. Add a rule to the enable property that only enables the button for the Contract Owner (responsible or contributor) and System Administrators (Administrator Profile). The result should be that the action will be visible but will not be clickable unless you are the Contract Responsible, Contract Contributor user or users with the Administrator Profile. Note that we should not hide the action.</p> |



October 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 30, 2025)

| Key | Audience | Components | Summary | Description |
|------|----------|-----------------------|--|--|
| 1574 | Buyers | Contracting / Catalog | Allow Variable Price line item with a NOT TO EXCEED price (MASTER) | <p>As requested: Allow Variable Price line items with a NOT TO EXCEED price. When a user enters a price on the item above the NOT TO EXCEED price, there would be a blocking error on the line item and requisition.</p> <p>*Business Need*: COVA would like a way to indicate what the "Not to Exceed" amount is for a given contract/catalog line item.</p> <p>*Contract / Catalog Line Updates*</p> <p>Add a new field for "Not to Exceed" Amount to the contract/catalog line table (t_pdt_item).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Optional- Read-Only except for when "Is Variable Price" is checked- Type of field should be monetary (like other price fields) <p>*Punchout & Catalog Load*</p> <p>Add "Not to Exceed" as extrinsic for Punchouts</p> <p>Add "Not to Exceed" as extrinsic for Catalog import</p> <p>*REQ & PO line*</p> <p>Add Not to Exceed field to the detailed line-item view (read only) – location TBD- right now the contract stuff is not in obvious spot – helps the user find both. Make sure field has right value (should come from punchout when punchout uses and not the contract)</p> <p>Add alert to REQ when the line item exceeds the Not to Exceed amount. Technically when doing this you will need to check the NTE value on the REQ (since punchouts are contracts but don't have stored line items). COVA mentioned a blocking error.</p> <p>*PO Delivery (Print, online, cxml)*</p> <p>No changes</p> <p>*DW/DR*</p> <p>The new field will be extracted as outlined in the design document.</p> |
| 1836 | Buyers | Contracting / Catalog | Add Market Price Field to contract/catalog line items (MASTER) | COVA is looking to implement a market/retail price field on hosted and punchout catalog line items. This field will help COVA more easily measure savings/cost avoidance for contract catalog line items (and all line items that include the market price). Field should be captured and stored in the DW as well. |
| 2010 | Buyers | LogiXML | PO - Add Link to Report 203 - Ivalua side changes | This ticket tracks the Ivalua side changes needed for updating a link in the PO to launch Report 203 (Other Actions - Print PO (Report 203). With this change, the Vendor TIN will be masked/hidden because the display of the report routes through an external path and this information should not be visible/exposed. |



October 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 30, 2025)

| Key | Audience | Components | Summary | Description |
|------|----------|------------|--|---|
| 1905 | Buyers | P2P | Add PCard indicators to the Requisition Header tab | <p>COVA requested to add an indicator on the Header page of a requisition to show whether a PCard is being used or not. Also, display a message if a Supplier accepts PCard, but the User has not added a PCard to the requisition.</p> <p>Business impact: Buyers & Approvers often need to verify that a user is using their PCard. It would be easier/faster to have some indication on the Header page, rather than having to click the PCard tab. Additionally, displaying a message if a Supplier accepts PCard, but the User has not added a PCard to the requisition would help increase PCard use, which is a goal of the Commonwealth.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">[1]: a new field will be added to the header information to indicate if a PCard is in use or not.- The new field will be placed to the right of the Status field in the header. Field label will be "PCard used on requisition" and field will display yes or no.- The field will pull data from the PCard tab on the requisition. If a PCard is selected the field will display yes and if no PCard is added the field will display no. This is a read-only field.[2]: A message (static text) will be displayed on the requisition if the user has not added a PCard and a supplier on the requisition accepts PCards.- The message text will say "One or more Suppliers accepts PCards and no PCard has been added to this requisition."- The message will fire on submit. It will display along the top of the requisition within the standard message area. The message will continue to display until a PCard is added to the requisition.- This is a non-blocking message.[3]: A new column will be added to reflect if a supplier accepts PCards on the Items and Services grid. This is being added to provide buyers and approvers a visual about the supplier accepting PCards which will help increase PCard usage on requisitions.- The new column will be placed after SWAM categories column on the line item grid. The column will be labeled "Accepts PCard" and will display either "Accepts Visa", "Visa not accepted, other cards accepted", "Does not accept Pcards"- The column will pull data from the Supplier record 'accepts charge cards' field. |
| 1952 | Buyers | P2P | Update Callback to prevent Deleted Reqs from Displaying in QM Worklist | <p>COVA reported VCCS has 5 requisitions that have been deleted but they are still showing in their QM. Key 1929 is tracking the data fix to clear the deleted requisitions out of the QM Worklist. This EBUG will track a fix to update the underlying functionality to clear deleted requisitions out of the QM Worklist real-time.</p> <p>Business impact/need: Cleaning up the QM worklist will ensure the workload management list reflects requisitions that need to be assigned and will prevent the need to ignore the deleted requisitions.</p> <p>CGI research: Recreated – this scenario occurs when a requisition has been deleted while in the QM workflow.</p> <p>Change to be implemented:</p> <p>Add a callback to the Cancel Requisition process to update the (requisition) status_code to 'del' in the t_ord_queue_management_table when a requisition is cancelled.</p> <p>The QM table is already configured to only display requisitions in 'val' status so sending this update when the requisition is cancelled/deleted will prevent requisitions in 'del' (deleted) status from being included in the list.</p> |



October 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 30, 2025)

| Key | Audience | Components | Summary | Description |
|------|-----------------|-----------------|---|--|
| 1992 | Buyers | P2P | Move Attachment area on POs | <p>COVA reported - The attachment area on the PO moved after the upgrade. While it doesn't do it all the time, there is a page rendering issue that often pushes the Attachment area all the way to right and toward the bottom of the screen. Users need to scroll both ways to find it.</p> <p>We would like it to always be on the left side of screen, preferably under the Allocations section, where it appears on the REQ</p> <p>Business impact: The attachments area of POs has been moved to the right, closer to the bottom instead of being in line with the other sections, this can lead to attachments being missed and users have reported their attachments 'disappearing' because of this. Moving it to be left-aligned will help with visibility.</p> <p>CGI Research: Research found that the attachments placeholder was right aligned and close to the bottom of the page. Users have to scroll both ways to find the attachments.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Re-align the attachments placeholder to be left aligned and below the allocations placeholder.</p> |
| 1861 | Buyers | Sourcing | Missing Response Received Date & Time Fields on Paper Response Page | <p>Background info: As reported, the Received Response Date/Time field is missing from the Proposal Information placeholder on the Paper Response page.</p> <p>CGI research: Testing confirmed that the Response Received Date & Time fields are no longer visible when a buyer enters a paper response through the RFx activity tab.</p> <p>Business impact: With this field removed, buyers no longer have the ability to enter the date/time for a paper response. This causes data integrity issues because the fields needed are not available preventing buyers from adding the information required for paper responses.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Re-enable the Response Received Date & Time and Status fields in the "Proposal Information" placeholder on the buyer-side (internal) Paper Response page. These fields should be visible, enabled and required for the buyer-side (internal) paper response only. These fields should not be visible in the online supplier-side response.</p> |
| 1934 | Buyers | Sourcing | My To Do List | CGI Analysis: this is happening b/c the QQ was cancelled. The cancellation left the workflow action in the users inbox. |
| 1998 | Data Management | Data Management | Enable Excel Download capability on Browse Cardinal Fund page | The Excel Download capability on Browse Cardinal Fund page is not currently enabled. Please enable it. |
| 2006 | Data Warehouse | Data Warehouse | DW: Allow read-only users access to PO data | <p>Allow read-only DW users to query DW PO tables. Currently, individual read-only user accounts cannot query PO data. This makes it difficult for such individuals to do PROD support.</p> <p>*Background info*:</p> <p># Allow Data Administrator/Read Only profiles to view Logi Reports on the Report Center page.</p> <p># Allow users to query Data Warehouse Purchase order tables in COVABASE data source.</p> <p>*CGI research*: Currently, individual read-only user accounts cannot query PO data.</p> <p>*Business impact*: Currently, it is difficult for users with data administrator read-only user accounts to do PROD support.</p> <p>*Changes to be implemented*: Allow Data Administrator Read-Only profiles to view reports on the report center page.</p> |



October 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 30, 2025)

| Key | Audience | Components | Summary | Description |
|------|-------------|------------|--|---|
| 1933 | Integration | P2P | Integration Failure: Capture 500 Internal Server Errors in Email Service | <p>Overview: COVA reported that a requisition got stuck during the integration call, preventing order generation and resulting in the error: "Cannot process. Order not awaiting ERP approve/deny response."</p> <p>Business Impact: Orders are not being generated.</p> <p>CGI Research: The integration response was sent, but the APIs failed multiple times due to a 500 Internal Server Error.</p> <p>Change to be Implemented: Currently, our mailing service only captures and notifies on 400 response codes. We need to enhance the existing logic to include 500 response codes as well.</p> |
| 1857 | Suppliers | Catalog | cXML Failed order notifications not going out | <p>It was reported that when cXML order transmission fails, the error log emails are not going out to the respective recipients (Ivalua OPS inbox and supplier contact). Noticed that the EAI 'cXML PO Send' is set to 'In progress' status instead of 'error' and so the NOTIFYADM and NOTIFYSUP instructions are not executing.</p> <p>With ticket 1534, the following issue was resolved: We were unable to see the logs of the "*po_cxml_send*" EAI in failed cases. → *This is now resolved*.</p> <p>This ticket will track fixing the following issue: "*po_cxml_send*" EAI's remaining instructions are not getting executed if it's failed with an unmanaged error. Email notifications are not getting sent out.</p> <p>Business impact: When failed order notifications do not get sent out, users do not get notified about the failed order. This requires daily manual intervention (CGI & COVA) to review for failed orders and notify users.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Pending Ivalua EAI fix to successfully execute EAI instructions and send failed order notification.</p> |
| 2013 | Suppliers | Invoice | Invoice creation failing on PO Flip due to missing Organization field | <p>Overview: When performing a PO Flip as a supplier, the system is not setting the Organization field on the invoice header.</p> <p>Business Impact: Suppliers are unable to save invoices after a PO Flip, receiving errors such as "Data not Saved" and "No Legal Company." This blocks invoice creation and delays processing.</p> <p>CGI Research: Investigation revealed that during the PO Flip process, the Organization field is not being automatically populated as expected. Since this field is mandatory for invoice creation, the missing value results in system errors when attempting to save.</p> <p>Change to be Implemented: Update the PO Flip logic to ensure the Organization field is correctly set during invoice creation, preventing errors and allowing successful invoice submission.</p> |
| 2046 | Suppliers | Sourcing | Fix for Key 1861 showing on supplier side | <p>Key 1861 showing on supplier side</p> <p>Background info: As reported, the Received Response Date/Time field is missing from the Proposal Information placeholder on the Paper Response page.</p> <p>CGI research: Testing confirmed that the Response Received Date & Time fields are no longer visible when a buyer enters a paper response through the RFx activity tab.</p> <p>Business impact: With this field removed, buyers no longer have the ability to enter the date/time for a paper response. This causes data integrity issues because the fields needed are not available preventing buyers from adding the information required for paper responses.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Re-enable the Response Received Date & Time and Status fields in the "Proposal Information" placeholder on the buyer-side (internal) Paper Response page. These fields should be visible, enabled and required for the buyer-side (internal) paper response only. These fields should not be visible in the online supplier-side response.</p> |



October 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 30, 2025)

| Key | Audience | Components | Summary | Description |
|------|-----------|------------|---|--|
| 1879 | Suppliers | Supplier | Display additional fields on the view-only Supplier Registration Screen | <p>The read-only Supplier Registration page does not display all fields that a supplier can enter on their Supplier self-Registration form. This page is accessed on the internal side (Suppliers - Registrations) and used by vendor support to review supplier self-registrations. Adding additional fields will help when reviewing a registration to determine if it is a duplicate. In addition to adding fields, some field labels will be updated to match what is used on the actual supplier screens.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: The following changes will be made to the view-only Registration Manage page. This page is accessed via Suppliers – Registrations list.</p> <p>*The following fields will be hidden:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Capital- Longitude- Latitude <p>The following field labels will be updated:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Current label = Legal Name - Update label to *Name (as shown on W-9)* - Placement: position below Supplier field- Current label = Legal Form - Update label to *Organization Type* - Placement: position below DBA / Location Name (see next section)- Current label = Goods / Products Offered - Update label to *Regions & Commodities* <p>The following fields will be added: (Note: fields currently exist on Supplier Registration pages for internal and external registration forms)</p> <p>Company Information section</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- DBA / Location Name - Placement: Position below Name (as shown on W-9)- Supplemental Organization Type - Placement: Position below Organization Type- Tax Exempt - Placement: position to right of Supplemental Organization Type- Web Address - Placement: Position below DBA / Location Name- Accept Charge Cards? - Placement: Position below Supplemental Organization Type- Supplier Delivery Methods - Placement: Position below Accept Charge Cards- Unique Entity ID - Placement: Position below Delivery Methods <p>Regions & Commodities section</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Notify my Company when a solicitation is created within my scope - Placement: position below Commodities- Supplier Email Address - Placement: position below Notify my Company field <p>Contact Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Phone - Placement: position below Last Name- Fax - Placement: position to right of Email <p>SEE TICKET FOR REMAINING CHANGES</p> |



October 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 30, 2025)

| Key | Audience | Components | Summary | Description |
|------|-----------|---------------|---|---|
| 1928 | Suppliers | Supplier | Update the SBSD batch job to create new Doc & Cert records for previously archived SWaM types | <p>CGI found that when a Supplier has a SWaM type that reaches its expiration date, the SBSD batch job will delete the SWaM value from the Supplier Company Information and archive the associated record under Documents & Certs. When archiving a record, the archived date is set on the record which leads to the record no longer being displayed on the screen.</p> <p>If the Supplier gets that SWaM type renewed in SBSD, the web service called by the batch job will return that information and the batch job correctly adds that SWaM value from the Supplier Company Information. The batch job also adds this information to Documents & Certs.</p> <p>Currently the system updates the existing archived SWaM record with the new start and end dates while keeping the value in the archived date field. The result is that the record remains archived and is hence not displayed on the screen.</p> <p>This ticket is being implemented to update the SBSD batch job to create new Documents & Certs records for previously archived SWaM types.</p> <p>Business Impact: SWaM Documents & Certs are not accurate when a SWaM Cert is renewed by the supplier,</p> <p>Changes to be Implemented: Insert a new record in the Documents & Certs if an archived record for the same SWaM type exists. Note that if a record for the same SWaM type exists in the table that is not archived, then the existing record should be updated.</p> <p>Update the last modified on the Documents & Certs when a record is updated</p> <p>If possible the batch job should add a comment to the Documents & Certs record when the record is archived or updated stating that it was archived or updated by the job with a time stamp.</p> |
| 1951 | Suppliers | Vendor Portal | Update the Solicitation download button to open a new tab | <p>CGI found while testing Key 1950 that users are directly taken to the download details page when downloading an attachment from the Public Contracts contract details page or Vendor Portal opportunity details page. This creates a usability issue for users as they have to close out of the downloads tab when the download is complete and reopen the Public Contract / Vendor Portal page(s) to navigate back to where they were previously before the download.</p> <p>Business Impact: Usability issue - opening the downloads in the same tab causes users to reopen the Vendor Portal or Public Contracts page instead of closing the downloads page/tab and still being in their prior Vendor Portal or Public Contract page in the separate tab.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: Update the Solicitation download button to open a new tab instead of redirecting in the existing tab</p> |