



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1978	AP	Invoice - Buy Side	Invoice workflow additional updates	<p>Changes being requested</p> <p># During testing, it was found that the performers are not getting filtered correctly at 'Assignment' and 'Non PO Assignment' steps in the updated invoice workflow.</p> <p># Accounts Payable team should be set as the main performer on 'Charge Pcard on PO' step.</p> <p>CGI Research</p> <p># The performer filter set on 'Assignment' and Non PO Assignment' step returns performers who have the authorization profile that shows under t_org_act table, 'Invoice Approval Profile' dropdown who are within and outside the invoice organization. This filter needs to be updated to only return Approval Profiles selected on 'Invoice Approval Profile' dropdown under t_org_act table and within the invoice organization.</p> <p># While testing, the Account Payable team was removed as the main performer on 'Charge Pcard on PO' step.</p> <p>Business Impact</p> <p># If performers are not being filtered correctly at this 'Assignment' and 'Non PO Assignment' steps , the wrong performer can take action on the invoice.</p> <p># AP Team should have the capability to route the PCO orders to go through the standard invoice workflow by rejecting the invoice when it is at 'Charge Pcard on PO' step.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented</p> <p># Update to only return performers with the the approval profile selected on 'Invoice Approval Profile' dropdown under t_org_act table and within the invoice organization.</p> <p># Add back the Account Payable team as the main performer on 'Charge Pcard on PO' step.</p>
1909	AP	Analytics	Inovice: Configure AP invoicing dashboard	<p>Overview: Create a new homepage with information and analyses about invoices. The homepage will be along the lines of AP homepage. Further requirement details to be added.</p> <p>Business Impact: Make invoice processes and analysis easier and all in one place for users with Accounts Payable Profile.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Develop the new homepage and apply it to the AP profile.</p>



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1854	AP	Invoice	Invoice workflow changes	<p>Change Requested:</p> <p>1. Update invoice approval workflow changes.</p> <p># COVA reported INV000551 should have moved to Deny Status but is still showing Business Review on the Browse screen. Workflow show the Invoice was Denied.</p> <p># As a follow-up, it was found that when a internal user/Supplier adds a non-po line and submits the non-po invoice for approval, a blocking alert fires stating that the allocation information is required.</p> <p># Payment Address field is not required until the workflow reaches the 'AP OK to Voucher' step.</p> <p>CGI Research:</p> <p># Invoice approval workflow needed to be updated to better support the invoice functionality.</p> <p># The integration rejection is actually being processed by the EAI as an "Accept" action not a reject action. We are going to hold until talking with the Business team at the client b/c we need to see what the integration partner expects after they reject (can we fix and resubmit, or is is completely dead- and need need a new invoice).</p> <p># The blank allocation lines are firing blocking alert and preventing the non-po invoice from moving through the invoice approval process to be finalized.</p> <p># Payment Address field should not be required until the invoice reaches 'AP OK to Voucher' step during the invoice approval process.</p> <p>Business Impact:</p> <p># The updated invoice approval workflow will provide better usability of invoice approval process.</p> <p># The integration process should provide the correct status of the invoice.</p> <p># The non-po invoice with non-po lines cannot be moved through workflow as blocking alert fires that allocation information is required for the non-po line but allocation values cannot be selected.</p> <p># The OCR process does capture the supplier address but it is not mapped by lvalue to the new field we added (See the json.. looks like lvalue hard wires field names in the json which then is used by the ocr mapping process ... see below supplier address is not mapped but invoice amount is).</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <p># Update the invoice workflow to better support the usability of the invoice approval process.</p> <p># Update the invoice integration to EAI process reject as 'Reject' action.</p> <p># To add callback to remove allocation lines for non-po lines when non-po invoices are created.</p> <p># To update the payment address field on the invoice header to be not required until it reaches the 'AP OK to Voucher' step.</p>
2012	AP	Invoice	Modify Accounts Payable Profile Order to Ensure Correct Homepage Selection	<p>Overview:</p> <p>The order value for the Accounts Payable profile needs to be updated so that it does not display as the homepage when a user has multiple profiles.</p> <p>Business Impact:</p> <p>Currently, the Accounts Payable profile is set to order '5', making it the default homepage for all users with that profile. This causes Accounts Payable to take precedence over other roles, which is not the intended behavior.</p> <p>CGI Research:</p> <p>It was identified that the login homepage is determined by the order value in the t_usr_profil. With Accounts Payable set to '5', it takes precedence over Employee (50), Requisitioner (30), Sealed Sourcing (30), and Admins (20).</p> <p>Change to be implemented:</p> <p>Update the order value for Accounts Payable to 45, ensuring it appears after Requisitioner, Sealed Sourcing, and Admins, but still takes precedence if the user has only Accounts Payable and Employee profiles.</p>



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
2014	AP	Invoice	Restrict Invoice Edits with AP Team Locking Functionality	<p>Overview: A security change is required to enable the AP Team to lock invoices within the system.</p> <p>Business Impact: At present, all AP users have the ability to edit invoices, which creates a risk of unintended or unauthorized changes.</p> <p>CGI Research: It was identified that a locking functionality is needed to restrict access once an invoice has been locked by a user from the AP Team.</p> <p>Change to be Implemented: Introduce functionality that allows AP Team members to lock invoices. Once locked, other AP users should be restricted from making any edits to the locked invoice.</p>
2016	AP	Invoice	PROD Only: Enable Invoice for DGS	<p>Turn on invoice functionality for DGS in PROD.</p> <p>DGS will start using the invoice functionality in PROD and will need the functionality turned on to be used.</p> <p>Business Impact: Turning invoice on for DGS will allow them to use the invoice functionality in PROD.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Setup necessary data setup under t_org_act table to allow the use of the invoice functionality by DGS.</p>
1984	AP / Buyers	Invoice - Buy Side	Updates to the Add Delivered Screen for Invoicing for usability	<p>The "Invoiceable Delivery Items" pop-up screen (access from Invoice -> Add Lines -> Add delivered items) shows all received items (but users can't tell status) and is prefiltered if the invoice itself has data in the shipping number field. Both of these create usability challenges for users in eVa. See attached screen shot</p> <p>Requested Change: 1. Don't prefilter list by Shipping Number of the invoice header (Tech note, it appears we can do this by removing the initialization callback for the field on the popup screen) 2. Add a display of the overall header status (as the second column) of the pop-up so the user knows. Tech Note: The OTB grid is at the receipt line level, that status_code value cannot be used for this, instead add the status_code value from the header level (t_ord_delivery) to the display.</p> <p>Note: We don't want to "filter out" drafts b/c of usability related to receipts and drafts. Better first step is to show user the status - then if they need to submit the drafts then can.</p>
1985	AP / Buyers	Invoice - Buy Side	Optical Character Recognition (OCR) - Pick latest PO available	<p>As part of Invoice OCR effort, OCR looks for an exact match of PO number. In cases where there are new versions of the PO by a change order, the latest PO version should be picked up.</p> <p>CGI Research: OCR looks for an exact match of PO number. In cases where there are new versions of the PO by a change order, the latest PO version should be picked up. Currently it picks up the PO that displays on the invoice, which could be the older version. This causes a blocking alert to fire that the order field is missing PO.</p> <p>Business Impact: If there is a newer version of the PO but the invoice has the older PO version, OCR will not be able to pick up the order as the older version is no longer valid and end user will receive a blocking alert that the order field is missing as the invoice contains the older version of the PO and OCR is not able to pick up the latest version of the PO.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Pick latest version of PO available</p>



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1986	AP / Buyers	Invoice - Buy Side	Invoice workflow label changes	<p>While testing updated invoice workflow, it was found that workflow label changes are needed. The 2 label changes that will be needed in the invoice workflow will be listed below.</p> <p># Change 'Approve' action label on 'Assignment' and 'Non-PO Assignment' steps to 'Assign'. # Change 'Business Okay to Pay - Non PO' step label to 'Business OK to Pay - Non-PO'.</p> <p>CGI Research found that these label changes are need to provide better useability of the invoice workflow.</p> <p>Business Impact: These label change provide better useability of the invoice workflow.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Update the label changes requested.</p>
1884	Buyers	Analytics	IV: Add filter by Organization to Sourcing Projects chart	<p>Add ability to filter Sourcing Projects analytics chart by Organization. Add Organization dimension to measure group 'mg_process'.</p>
1787	Buyers	Contracting	Change orders on expired contract line items	<p>This ticket tracks changes needed to support change orders against expired contract line items.</p> <p>Overview: In version 168, we were able to accommodate this by changing the blocking error on the requisition (REQ) to a warning. As a result, the Purchase Order (PO) was generated with all lines from the REQ, including those associated with expired contract line items.</p> <p>CGI Research: In version 180, using the same configuration (i.e., setting the error to a warning), the system only includes lines associated with active contracts when generating the PO.</p> <p>Business impact: COVA needs to do change orders after a contract expires and the currently functionality (of dropping expired contract line items) causes change orders to be inaccurate / not include all item lines associated with the order.</p> <p>Changes to be Implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">* Bring forward *all line items from the REQ** Include lines *even if associated with an expired contract*
445	Buyers	P2P	Orders Able to be Cancelled Without Seeing Who Cancelled Them	<p>On 1/18/2023, the Requisitions group received a Customer Care ticket where the end user stated their order was cancelled, but not by them. When trying to see who cancelled the order, there was no information. When a user clicks the "Cancel Order" button there is no way to tell who cancelled the order.</p> <p>This ticket is logged to look at options for adding the display of this information (cancelled by & date) within the PO record to give buyers access to the information.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">* Will add the following fields in Header placeholder, positioned below the Status field; Cancelled by and Cancelled on.** Cancelled on will display the date/time when cancelled action was taken. To be consistent with other UI time displays, the time should display in EST (UTC-04).** Cancelled by will display the User that took the cancelled action.** These fields will conditionally display when the order is in 'cancelled' status.



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1817	Buyers	P2P	Allow Cost Adjustment lines on REQ, PO, REC, INV	<p>Overview: In Ivalua version 180, support for discount line items on requisitions (REQs) has been introduced. This ticket is intended to enable that feature within the CGI environment.</p> <p>CGI Research: Ivalua 180 includes functionality that allows discount line items across REQ, PO, REC, and INV objects. This feature is currently available and can be activated for use. Discount lines should now appear on receipts, whereas previously, negative amounts were not visible—receipts only showed reduced quantities instead of adjusted prices.</p> <p>Business Impact: Enabling this functionality allows users to add negative line items to reflect discounts directly on requisitions, purchase orders, receipts, and invoices. This provides more accurate financial tracking and aligns system behavior with actual procurement scenarios.</p> <p>Changes to be Implemented:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Cost and discount options, other than Discount in Value and in % to be added:<ul style="list-style-type: none">* Freight in Value* Shipping in Value* Other Costs in Value* Discount in Value* Discount in Percentageb. For values applying per unit in inner Line Item's 'cost & Discount' Grid: added a tooltip (For value types, the amount is applied per unit.) to 'Type' label. And updated 'Amount' label to 'Amount per Unit'.
1865	Buyers	P2P	Wrong report linked to the 'Print PO (Report 203)' button within PO - Other Actions Menu	<p>Overview: While testing ticket 1781, it was found that the 'Print PO (Report 203)' button is incorrectly directing users to report 9008. Ideally, it should be directing users to a PDF print of report 203, but configuring the system to do this while require more research.</p> <p>CGI Research: For now, we need to make sure the 'Print PO (Report 203)' button is at least correctly directing the user to the page where you can search for Report 203.</p> <p>Business Impact: Users will incorrectly be directed to the wrong report which could cause confusion.</p> <p>Changes to be Implemented: Fix the link within Ivalua to direct user to report 203.</p>
1883	Buyers	P2P	Expenditure Approval not shown in workflow lookahead during REQ approval process	<p>COVA reported that A221 ODU reported to their AE that are unable to see their Expenditure Limit Approver (ELA) in their Upcoming Approvals. Even though they are unable to see it, the requestion is still being routed to that approval.</p> <p>CGI research found that a previous change made with ticket 1708 has caused the issue for the ELA to not populate correctly in the workflow lookahead because the requester id was hardcoded to '0' instead of being based on the performer/contact id of basket.</p> <p>Business Impact: The workflow lookahead does not correctly display the approvals that are upcoming.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Remove the hard-coded value for the requester from the query and make it dynamic so it picks the requester relevant to the req.</p>



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1892	Buyers	P2P	Link to Report 203 from PO should open to specific report for that PO	<p>Overview: In the initial ticket for linking to Report 203 from the PO in eVA, it said, "Clicking [the link] would open the Logi Report 203 for that specific PO. The report should include all versions of the order and include all receipt details." When testing ticket 1865, we found that the link is opening to the "203 - View or Print Order(s)" page and not opening to the specific 203 report for the eVA PO. Please pass the parameters from eVA to Logi to open the specific 203 report for the eVA PO.</p> <p>CGI Research: * With the changes, when attempting to generate a report for a PO that has an amendment, the report will automatically generate for the most recent amended version.</p> <p>Changes to be Implemented: Configure to add txtNumber = Original Version of the PO</p> <p>Business Impact: Implementing the direct link to the specific report will streamline the procurement process instead of having to search for the report every time.</p>
1910	Buyers	P2P	Set unit of measure Pound to be available in default list for line items	<p>An eVA user / COVA requested the addition of "lb" (pound) and "lbs" (pounds) as a unit of measure available as a line item dropdown option.</p> <p>CGI research: Currently the unit of measure Pound is not displayed in dropdowns or on the corresponding Browse page by default. The reason is that the default filter only shows records with the property 'Is Current' set to true. Currently the Pound unit of measure is set to false.</p> <p>Change to be implemented: To make the Pound unit of measure visible by default, the property 'Is Current' will be set to true. This will be done by updating unit_is_current to be TRUE in table t_bas_unit where unit_code = 'LBR'.</p>
1938	Buyers	P2P	Receipt Print - Information is blank on printed receipt	<p>COVA reported that information is blank when printing from a single receipt/ PO (Name /Item Description, for example).</p> <p>Business impact: Printed receipt information is incorrect, missing information.</p> <p>CGI research: Recreated issue and have logged an Extranet Ticket with lvalua</p> <p>Change to be implemented: lvalua fix to populate receipt information correctly on printed receipt.</p>
2010	Buyers	P2P	PO - Add Link to Report 203 - lvalua side changes	This ticket tracks the lvalua side changes needed for updating a link in the PO to launch Report 203 (Other Actions - Print PO (Report 203). With this change, the Vendor TIN will be masked/hidden because the display of the report routes through an external path and this information should not be visible/exposed.
2011	Buyers	P2P	PO - Add Link to Report 203 - Logi changes	This ticket tracks the Logi changes needed for updating a link in the PO to launch Report 203 (Other Actions - Print PO (Report 203). With this change, the Vendor TIN will be masked/hidden because the display of the report routes through an external path and this information should not be visible/exposed.
1971	Data Management	Data Management	Optimizing Elasticsearch Queries and Login Data Handling	The v_usr_contact_auth view is being used in the Elasticsearch queries, and it currently includes the NOEXPAND hint. During database performance monitoring, we observed that these queries were running slowly and generating a high number of logical reads. To improve performance, we removed the NOEXPAND hint and executed the query, which led to a significant reduction in read counts.
2017	Data Management	Data Management	PROD Only: Import Invoice Data Capture (IDC) Templates from Performance Training	We also noticed that the view is returning data for deleted logins. If these deleted logins are not required anywhere, would it be possible to add a status filter to the view as suggested below? Alternatively, could we explore partitioning the t_usr_login table based on status?
1722	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Modify logic to get contact_id from previous matching login record from dim_users	The IDC templates saved post IDC training in Performance instance should be imported into PROD.
				Some user audit records are extracted with null contact_id. This prevents these audit records from loading into AUDIT_USERPROFILES_HIST table. Update the ETL to populate the contact_id before loading into AUDIT_USERPROFILES_HIST table.



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1881	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Cost Adjustments as line items (ETL)	<p>Overview: In Ivalua version 180, support for discounts and additional costs as line items on requisitions (REQs) has been introduced. As ticket 1817 allowing negative lines on Reqs, POs, Receipts and invoices is being implemented within eVA. This ticket is intended to track DW updates.</p> <p>CGI Research: _The ticket initially mentioned negative lines._ There are two ways to add a cost adjustment to a requisition: The outer and in-line method.</p> <p>Business Impact: Provides more accurate financial tracking for negative lines (discounts) and aligns system behavior with actual procurement scenarios within eVA.</p> <p>Changes to be Implemented: Create a extract query to pull negative line items. Modify EAI for the same. Specific DW Changes are in development.</p>
1898	Data Warehouse	Data Warehouse	DW: Extract order Item Type into FACT_POCOMMLNS (ETL)	<p>Extract the field Item Type from order item into FACT_POCOMMLNS table.</p> <p>Fields to add:</p> <p>ITEMTYPE_CODE ITEMTYPE_DESC</p>
1853	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice OCR changes	<p>Changes being requested:</p> <p># Taxes field set to 0.00 by default and automatically set the PO's organization at the site level on the organization field of IDC when PO is found. Currency is set to USD by default.</p> <p># Invoice OCR workflow</p> <p>CGI Research:</p> <p># On OCR field recognition page, we need to set the taxes field to 0.00 by default and automatically set the PO's organization at the site level on the organization field of IDC when PO is found. Currency is set to USD by default.</p> <p># Invoice OCR workflow is created to support invoice OCR functionality.</p> <p>Business Impact:</p> <p># The enhancements made will allow end users have a better usability of the invoice OCR functionality</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <p># SQL query was created on the initialization step of the OCR workflow to set the value of tax to 0.00 and corresponding agency for the PO site as PO's are created at the site level. Currency is set to USD by default.</p>



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1872	Invoice	Invoice	Non-PO Invoice: Orders dropdown filtering based on Requester/Authorized Entity by default	<p>CGI found that when Non-PO invoices are created on the Buyer side only, the orders dropdown is filtering the orders based on the Requester, the logged in user creating the Non-PO invoice and Authorized Entity, if the logged in User has a main organization selected. This dropdown should not filter based on those default filter values. CGI also found that the order dropdown should filter orders created at the site level of the agency level selected in the org dropdown on invoice header page as well as the Supplier.</p> <p>CGI Research found that when creating a non-po invoice, the orders dropdown is filtering based on Requester/Authorized Entity by default, limiting the amount of orders that can be seen before those default filters are removed. CGI research also found that the orders dropdown is filtering the orders based on Supplier and not the organization so we need to allow to filter on both Supplier and organization.</p> <p>Business impact: Users can see limited amount of orders due to the default filters before removing the filters to see more orders and filtering of the order is not based on org.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Remove the default filters from the orders dropdown when creating a non-po invoice from Buyer side and filter the order dropdown based on Supplier and all orders created from the site that the agency level is associated with that was selected by the user.</p>
1895	Invoice	Invoice	Non-PO invoice: Remove filtering on order dropdown to only show PO's from invoice enabled organization	<p>Overview: This EBUG is created to remove the filter on order dropdown on both buyer and supplier side when creating non-po invoice to show all orders created from organizations that have invoice turned on or off.</p> <p>CGI Research: found that there was a filter applied on the order field dropdown when creating a non-po invoice where the orders were getting filtered to show orders created from organizations that have invoice turned on.</p> <p>Business impact: This filter only shows order in which the order was created from an organization that has invoice turned on.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Remove the filter on the orders dropdown when creating non-po invoice that only displays orders created from organization that has invoice turned on.</p>
1914	Invoice	Invoice	Enable Supplier Messaging thread capabilities for Invoices.	<p>Ivalua provides the ability to configure messages between suppliers and internal users for any object. Update the configuration to enable this messaging thread for Invoices.</p> <p>CGI Research found that we need to enable supplier messaging thread for Invoices to allow communication between the end user and the supplier while going through the invoice approval process.</p> <p>Business Impact: This message thread functionality will allow internal/supplier to communicate for the invoices that are being worked on.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Enabled External conversation configuration and added 'Accountant', 'Sales person', 'Supplier admin' roles.</p>



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1931	Invoice	Invoice	OCR Configurations - Basic Setup	<p>Following Configurations are handled via this ticket:</p> <pre># IDC related Parameters ## inv_idc_ai_model: azureinvoice ## inv_idc_ocr_version: azureinvoice ## inv_idc_po_number_digits: 8 ## inv_idc_po_number_prefix: PO ## idc_po_supplier_recognition: 1 # Email Inbox related EAs ## aws_email2invoice - For Generic Mailbox ## dgs_email2invoice - For DGS Specific Mailbox ## Add following Configurations in Web.Config ### \$\$imap_user_dgs\$\$ ### \$\$imap_password_dgs\$\$ ### \$\$imap_user_gen\$\$ ### \$\$imap_password_gen\$\$ # OCR Field Recognition related Configurations ## t_bas_ocr_field_recognition *Table* *Column* *Recognition Code* Invoices invoice_date AI Only Invoices sup_id AI Only Invoices invoice_due_date AI Only Invoices invoice_code AI Only Invoices invoice_net_total_entry AI Only Invoices invoice_total_entry AI Only Invoices inv_total_taxes_amount AI Only Link between order and invoice invoice_id;ord_id Hybrid Invoices orga_id Hybrid Invoices unit_code_currency Hybrid </pre>
1954	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice: Organization dropdown values are displayed at the agency level	<p>To support Invoice OCR, we need to set the organization dropdown values at the agency level when creating PO, non-PO, and OCR invoices. CGI research found that the organization dropdown when creating a PO/Non-PO/OCR invoices should be at the agency level.</p> <p>Business Impact: To support OCR, organization dropdown values should be at agency level since the invoices being submitted through OCR have the invoice organization set at the agency level.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented:</p> <p>PO flip: Added a SQL filter to set the organization on the invoice to agency level corresponding to the PO site level.</p> <p>Non-PO invoice: Organization dropdown should display all available agency level.</p>



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1956	Invoice	Invoice	OCR - Pick latest PO available	<p>As part of Invoice OCR effort, OCR recognition looks for an exact match of PO number, in cases where there are new versions of the PO by a change order, the latest PO version should be picked up.</p> <p>CGI Research: OCR recognition looks for an exact match of PO number, in cases where there are new versions of the PO by a change order, the latest PO version should be picked up. Currently it picks up the PO that displays on the invoice, which could be the older version. This causes a blocking alert to fire that order field is missing PO.</p> <p>Business Impact: If there is a newer version of the PO but the invoice has the older PO version, OCR will not be able to pick up the order as the older version is no longer valid and end user will receive a blocking alert that order field is missing as the invoice contains the older version of the PO and OCR is not able to pick up the latest version of the PO.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: To be added once the changes are determined.</p>
1967	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice Data Capture (IDC) - Supplier name not consistently selected correctly for Non PO Invoices by OCR	<p>Almost all Non PO invoices fail at the basic functionality of recognizing the Supplier. We have attempted to 'train' but invoices for the same vendor using the same format continue to fail.</p> <p>CGI Research found that non-po invoices, when loaded through OCR, the supplier is not getting picked up correctly.</p> <p>Business Impact: If wrong supplier is picked up, the information being captured from invoice is wrong and can lead to misleading invoices being generated.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Change was delivered by lvalua R&D team.</p>
1995	Invoice	Invoice	Invoice Optical Character Recognition (OCR) Workflow Enhancement: Auto-Approval for PO Flip and Captured Lines Loading	<p>As part of the Invoice OCR effort, the PO Flip and Load Captured Lines steps need to be auto-approved.</p> <p>Research Findings: CGI's analysis identified that enabling auto-approval for these steps will enhance the usability of the OCR workflow.</p> <p>Business Impact: Implementing this change will significantly improve the overall Invoice OCR Enhancement: Auto-Approval for PO Flip and Captured Lines Loading usability of the OCR process.</p> <p>Proposed Change: Update the requested label changes to support this enhancement.</p>
2013	Suppliers	Invoice	Invoice creation failing on PO Flip due to missing Organization field	<p>Overview: When performing a PO Flip as a supplier, the system is not setting the Organization field on the invoice header.</p> <p>Business Impact: Suppliers are unable to save invoices after a PO Flip, receiving errors such as "Data not Saved" and "No Legal Company." This blocks invoice creation and delays processing.</p> <p>CGI Research: Investigation revealed that during the PO Flip process, the Organization field is not being automatically populated as expected. Since this field is mandatory for invoice creation, the missing value results in system errors when attempting to save.</p> <p>Change to be Implemented: Update the PO Flip logic to ensure the Organization field is correctly set during invoice creation, preventing errors and allowing successful invoice submission.</p>
1911	Suppliers	Sourcing	Update logic to populate the name in the salutation of the RFx Response email	<p>Update logic that populates the name in the salutation of the RFx Response email to use the contact information from the logged in user. The email address to which the email is sent will remain unchanged and will continue to be that of the logged in contact who submitted the RFx Response.</p> <p>The current logic pulls the name from the contact table using a query that pulls all the contacts for the supplier and then picks a record using the SQL top command. This sometimes results in the record pulled not being the same as the logged in contact that submitted the RFx Response and the name hence not matching the recipient of the email.</p> <p>Changes to be implemented: Update the {{notify_supplier}} query to fetch the contact's name instead of the current value.</p>



September 2025 Release Notes (date of release October 2, 2025)

Key	Audience	Components	Summary	Description
1885	Suppliers	Supplier	Supplier Self-Registration: Make "include in eVA Public Supplier Directory" box default to Yes	<p>COVA reported that the "include in eVA Public Supplier Directory" checkbox is not checked by default resulting in users missing the checkbox and not all suppliers being available publicly. The Supplier Support team currently manually checks off the box before approving supplier registrations. Any supplier doing business registering with the Commonwealth should be shown publicly to meet transparency requirements. Suppliers should only be hidden from the Public Supplier Directory if an administrative decision is made to do so.</p> <p>CGI found while onboarding self-registered suppliers, the "include in eVA Public Supplier Directory" box is not defaulted to checked.</p> <p>Business Impact: Suppliers not being listed publicly does not meet transparency requirements</p> <p>Change to be Implemented: Make the "include in eVA Public Supplier Directory" box default to Yes on Supplier Self-Registration.</p> <p>This field should be defaulted and disabled for all suppliers during on-boarding, including self-registered suppliers.</p> <p>Note: box is not available on Supplier Self-Registrations and only available during the on-boarding of the self-registered suppliers by internal users.</p>